


# ALGORITHMS

who solves the problem?





algorithm, program, process

"A finitely long rule consisting of individual instructions is called an **algorithm**."

Source: Vornberger, O., Algorithmen und Datenstrukturen, Lecture notes: <http://www-lehre.inf.uos.de/~ainf/2013/PDF/skript.pdf>

"A **program** is an algorithm expressed in a programming language."

Source: Vornberger, O., Algorithmen und Datenstrukturen, Lecture notes: <http://www-lehre.inf.uos.de/~ainf/2013/PDF/skript.pdf>

"A **process** is a program that is currently executed by a computer."

Source: Vornberger, O., Algorithmen und Datenstrukturen, Lecture notes: <http://www-lehre.inf.uos.de/~ainf/2013/PDF/skript.pdf>



greatest common divisor



# euclidean algorithm

Identify the larger number. If  $a < b$ , swap numbers so that  $a > b$

Subtract  $b$  from  $a$  and replace  $a$  with the result

Repeat until one of the numbers becomes 0

Return the number that is not zero

Loop 1:

a = 18, b = 48 → swap

Loop 1:

$a = 18, b = 48 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 48, b = 18$

$a = 48 - 18 = 30$

Loop 1:

$a = 18, b = 48 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 48, b = 18$

$a = 48 - 18 = 30$

Loop 2:

$a = 30, b = 18 \rightarrow \text{no swap}$

$a = 30 - 18 = 12$

Loop 1:

$a = 18, b = 48 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 48, b = 18$

$a = 48 - 18 = 30$

Loop 2:

$a = 30, b = 18 \rightarrow \text{no swap}$

$a = 30 - 18 = 12$

Loop 3:

$a = 12, b = 18 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 18, b = 12$

$a = 18 - 12 = 6$

Loop 1:

$a = 18, b = 48 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 48, b = 18$

$a = 48 - 18 = 30$

Loop 2:

$a = 30, b = 18 \rightarrow \text{no swap}$

$a = 30 - 18 = 12$

Loop 3:

$a = 12, b = 18 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 18, b = 12$

$a = 18 - 12 = 6$

Loop 4:

$a = 6, b = 12 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 12, b = 6$

$a = 12 - 6 = 6$

Loop 1:

$a = 18, b = 48 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 48, b = 18$

$a = 48 - 18 = 30$

Loop 2:

$a = 30, b = 18 \rightarrow \text{no swap}$

$a = 30 - 18 = 12$

Loop 3:

$a = 12, b = 18 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 18, b = 12$

$a = 18 - 12 = 6$

Loop 4:

$a = 6, b = 12 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 12, b = 6$

$a = 12 - 6 = 6$

Loop 5:

$a = 6, b = 6 \rightarrow \text{no swap}$

$a = 6 - 6 = 0$



Loop 1:

$a = 18, b = 48 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 48, b = 18$

$a = 48 - 18 = 30$

Loop 2:

$a = 30, b = 18 \rightarrow \text{no swap}$

$a = 30 - 18 = 12$

Loop 3:

$a = 12, b = 18 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 18, b = 12$

$a = 18 - 12 = 6$

Loop 4:

$a = 6, b = 12 \rightarrow \text{swap} \rightarrow a = 12, b = 6$

$a = 12 - 6 = 6$

Loop 5:

$a = 6, b = 6 \rightarrow \text{no swap}$


$a = 6 - 6 = 0$

**return b = 6**

# flow diagrams




start / end of  
algorithm



decision



input / output




repetition



command /  
assignment



external routine





# square roots

# babylonian method

calculate square root of  
 $x = 16$

$$A = 1$$


$$B = X / A = 16$$






$$A = (A + B) / 2 = 17 / 2 = 8.5$$

$$B = X / A = 16 / 8.5 \approx 1.88$$




$$A = (A + B) / 2 \approx 10.38 / 2 \approx 5.19$$

$$B = X / A \approx 16 / 5.19 \approx 3.08$$




$$A = (A + B) / 2 \approx 8.27 / 2 \approx 4.14$$


$$B = X / A \approx 16 / 4.14 \approx 3.86$$





$$A = (A + B) / 2 = 8 / 2 = 4$$


$$B = X / A = 16 / 4 = 4$$






















estimating  $\pi$










$2r$

$$\frac{\text{○}}{\text{□}} = \frac{\pi r^2}{4r^2}$$


$2r$



$2r$

$$\frac{\text{○}}{\text{□}} = \frac{\pi r^2}{4r^2}$$

$2r$




$2r$

$$\frac{\text{○}}{\text{□}} = \frac{\pi}{4}$$


$2r$




# monte carlo simulation




$$\frac{\text{Circle}}{\text{Square}} = \frac{\pi}{4}$$




$$4 \frac{\text{O}}{\text{□}} = \pi$$




$$4 \frac{\text{O}}{\text{□}} = \pi$$
$$= 4$$




$$4 \frac{\text{O}}{\text{□}} = \pi$$
$$= 4$$



$$4 \frac{\text{Circle}}{\text{Square}} = \pi$$
$$= 4$$




$$4 \frac{\text{circle}}{\text{square}} = \pi$$
$$= 3$$




$$4 \frac{\text{O}}{\text{□}} = \pi$$
$$= 3,2$$







$$4 \frac{\text{Circle}}{\text{Square}} = \pi$$
$$= 3,33$$



$$4 \frac{\text{○}}{\text{□}} = \pi$$
$$= 3,43$$



$$4 \frac{\text{Area of Circle}}{\text{Area of Square}} = \pi$$
$$= 3$$



gregory-leibniz series

$$\pi = 4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n+1}$$

$$\pi = 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{9} - \frac{1}{11} + \dots\right)$$



# sorting



[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]

# bubble sort

repeatedly compare and swap elements  
until done.

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 5 ?

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 5 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 9, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 5 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 9, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 5, 9, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 9, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 5 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 9, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 5, 9, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 9, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 5, 2, 9, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 1 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 1, 9, 4, 7 ]



[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 5 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 9, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 5, 9, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 9, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 5, 2, 9, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 1 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 1, 9, 4, 7 ]

[ 5, 2, 1, 9, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 4 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 1, 4, 9, 7 ]

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 5 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 9, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 5, 9, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 9, 1, 4, 7 ]

[ 5, 2, 9, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 1 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 1, 9, 4, 7 ]

[ 5, 2, 1, 9, 4, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 4 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 1, 4, 9, 7 ]

[ 5, 2, 1, 4, 9, 7 ]  $\longrightarrow$  9 > 7 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 5, 2, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]

[ 5, 2, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 2, 5, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]

[ 5, 2, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 2, 5, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]

[ 2, 5, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 1 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 2, 1, 5, 4, 7, 9 ]

[ 5, 2, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 2, 5, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]

[ 2, 5, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 1 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 2, 1, 5, 4, 7, 9 ]

[ 2, 1, 5, 4, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 4 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 2, 1, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 5, 2, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 2, 5, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]

[ 2, 5, 1, 4, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 1 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 2, 1, 5, 4, 7, 9 ]

[ 2, 1, 5, 4, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 4 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 2, 1, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 2, 1, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  5 > 7 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{no}}$  [ 2, 1, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[2, 1, 4, 5, 7, 9]  $\longrightarrow$  2 > 1?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9]

[ 2, 1, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  2 > 1 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  2 > 4 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{no}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]



[ 2, 1, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  2 > 1 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{yes}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  2 > 4 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{no}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  4 > 5 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{no}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  1 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{no}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  1 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{no}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  2 > 4 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{no}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  1 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{no}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]  $\longrightarrow$  1 > 2 ?  $\xrightarrow{\text{no}}$  [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ] DONE!

# selection sort

find the smallest element and move it to front. repeat for the rest of the elements.

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ]  $\xrightarrow{\text{move to front}}$  [ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ]



move to front

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ]

[ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 5, 9, 4, 7 ]

move to front

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ]

[ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 5, 9, 4, 7 ]

[ 1, 2, 5, 9, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ]

move to front

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ]

[ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 5, 9, 4, 7 ]

[ 1, 2, 5, 9, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ]

move to front

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ]

[ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 5, 9, 4, 7 ]

[ 1, 2, 5, 9, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]

move to front

[ 9, 5, 2, 1, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ]

[ 1, 5, 9, 2, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 5, 9, 4, 7 ]

[ 1, 2, 5, 9, 4, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ]

[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 7 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]


[ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ] → [ 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9 ]


# merge sort


divide the elements recursively in two halves until only one element is left.  
then merge the sorted halves back together.


divide the elements **recursively** in two halves until only one element is left.  
then merge the sorted halves back together.


































complexity





$O(n)$




<b><math>O(1)</math></b>	runtime is constant and independent of problem size
<b><math>O(\log_2 n)</math></b>	runtime is determined by the logarithm of problem size
<b><math>O(n)</math></b>	runtime is linear to problem size
<b><math>O(n^2)</math></b>	runtime grows quadratically with the size of the problem
<b><math>O(n^3)</math></b>	runtime grows cubically with the size of the problem
<b><math>O(2^n)</math></b>	runtime grows exponentially with the size of the problem
<b><math>O(n!)</math></b>	runtime grows factorially with the size of the problem




# optimization






# traveling salesmen

shortest tour?




shortest tour?




brute force

$$O(n) = n!$$


5 possible cities



5 4 possible cities




5 4 3 possible cities







5 4 3 2 possible cities




5 4 3 2 1 possible city



5 4 3 2 1 return nome



5 4 3 2 1 return nome



$$O(n) = n!$$

$$n = 5$$

$$O(n) = n!$$

$$n = 5$$

$$N = 5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1$$

$$O(n) = n!$$

$$n = 5$$

$$N = 5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1$$

$$= 120$$

$$n = 10$$

$$n = 20$$

$$n = 30$$



$$n = 25$$

brute force takes longer than the universe is old

$$n = 60$$

more possible routes than atoms in the universe




Image source: [IEEE](#)




Image source: [VDI Nachrichten](#)



Image source: [Wikimedia](#)





Image source: <https://github.com/meiyi1986/tutorials/blob/master/notebooks/img/pcb-drilling.jpeg>



Image source: [IAS Observatory](#)




# shortest paths




# dijkstra's algorithm

Distances:  $A = 0$ ,  $B = \infty$ ,  $C = \infty$ ,  $D = \infty$ ,  $E = \infty$

Set A as first location




Distances:  $A = 0$ ,  $B = 6$ ,  $C = \infty$ ,  $D = \infty$ ,  $E = \infty$






Distances:  $A = 0$ ,  $B = 6$ ,  $C = \infty$ ,  $D = 1$ ,  $E = \infty$



Distances:  $A = 0$ ,  $B = 6$ ,  $C = \infty$ ,  $D = 1$ ,  $E = \infty$




Move to D as  
next location

Mark A as  
visited


Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C =  $\infty$ , D = 1, E =  $\infty$

Update distance  
to B to 3




Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C =  $\infty$ , D = 1, E = 2

Update distance  
to E to 2



Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C =  $\infty$ , D = 1, E = 2




Move to E as  
next location


Mark D as  
visited

Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C = 7, D = 1, E = 2


Update distance  
to C to 7



Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C = 7, D = 1, E = 2



Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C = 7, D = 1, E = 2




Move to B as  
new location

Mark E as  
visited




Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C = 7, D = 1, E = 2




No further locations reachable from B

Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C = 7, D = 1, E = 2

Move to C as  
new location




Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C = 7, D = 1, E = 2



No further  
locations  
reachable from  
C

Distances: A = 0, B = 3, C = 7, D = 1, E = 2



All nodes  
visited, we're  
done!



# spam emails



finding oranges in images




Image source: [Wikimedia](#)




Image source: [Wikimedia](#)




Image source: [Wikimedia](#)



what set of rules can solve this?

# machine learning algorithms

